## River Crane Pollution Incident Presentation for AGM on 10<sup>th</sup> November

- 1. When and where did it happen
  - a. w/e of 29<sup>th</sup>/30<sup>th</sup> October. Pollution started in the early hours of Sunday morning and continued 'til early Monday morning
  - b. where the A4 crosses the Crane in Craneford
- 2. What went wrong?
  - a. Penstock gate on a v large sewer closed
  - b. Could not re-open it
  - c. Back up into Heathrow or outfall into the river
  - d. Large flow of neat raw sewage volume not yet known
- 3. Impact on the river
  - a. Immediate fish kill
  - b. Over 10000 fish taken back to Mogden
  - c. Complete in river invertebrate kill
  - d. Age and species including eg mature eels, pike and carp
  - e. water voles and kingfishers, herons
  - f. Public health
  - g. Likely time to recover quality and ecology
- 4. Responses
  - a. Admission of responsibility from TW
  - b. Meetings with TW and EA
  - c. Recognition as v serious incident worst in Thames for several years
  - d. Prosecution will take place
  - e. River Wandle as a precedent
  - f. TW pledges (i) investigate cause and ensure no repeat and (ii) provide long term resources for overall improvement
- 5. Towards the long-term plan
  - a. Agreement through the CV Partnership
  - b. Aim to set up a CV Trust
  - c. Monitoring
  - d. Re-stocking
  - e. Habitat improvements
  - f. Fish migration improvements
  - g. FORCE putting ideas together for initial plan with EA et al
  - h. Public help monitoring species return and habitat improvement work
- 6. FORCE view
  - a. A major blow for the river ecology
  - b. May provide an opportunity for a long term improvement
  - c. Still early days
  - d. Supportive as long as positive response is continued
  - e. May be a need to maintain pressure
  - f. Essential that momentum maintained on green space improvements
  - g. Public engagement essential